

# Political Language

Recent research into the **use of language by politicians** highlights how their rhetorical choices can significantly impact public opinion and the political climate. Language in politics is not just about communication but is a strategic tool to influence, persuade, and sometimes manipulate public perception. The strategic framing of issues, the choice of terminology, and the structure of language can profoundly shape public discourse and action.

The terminology used to discuss climate issues provides a clear example of this phenomenon. Terms like '*global warming*' and '*climate change*' evoke different responses; '*global warming*' suggests a more immediate, catastrophic phenomenon, prompting urgent responses, while '*climate change*' sounds more gradual and less threatening, potentially leading to less public urgency about taking action.

Aggressive and negative language in political arenas also plays a significant role. Research has shown that hostile or fear-inducing language can lead to public anxiety, increase polarization, and diminish the ability to engage in constructive dialogue. This type of communication can skew public perception of the issues at hand, reducing the focus on factual information and increasing the emotional temperature of public discourse.

The inclusivity of language is another critical aspect. In multilingual societies, the language used by politicians can either include or exclude segments of the population from fully engaging in the political process. Those not fluent in the dominant language may find themselves at a disadvantage, unable to access crucial information or participate effectively in discussions.

Furthermore, the linguistic structure itself—such as the use of gender-specific language—can influence societal perceptions and behaviours. In languages where gender is grammatically embedded, there can be a reinforcement of gender stereotypes, subtly shaping the societal roles expected of men and women.

Current trends in research advocate for a more conscientious approach to political communication. There is a growing call for politicians to consider the broader implications of their language choices—not only on immediate political outcomes but on the inclusivity, engagement, and well-being of the public. **This body of research underscores the need for a strategic overhaul of how political communication is conducted to ensure a more informed, engaged, and inclusive public discourse.**

By understanding and addressing the impact of language, there is potential to foster a more constructive and inclusive political environment. This is crucial for democracies, where informed public engagement is essential for the health and effectiveness of the political process.

Written by SAL 9000, Edited by BGMcD